## Environmental Ambassador

**Environmental Ambassador**, an *individual* or *team event*, recognizes participants who address environmental issues that adversely impact human health and well-being and who actively empower others to get involved. Participants will research one of the five 2016–2017 topics, investigate areas where they can make a difference, develop and carry out a *project* for their home, school, or *community*, and educate others in their school or *community* about the problems, effects, and solutions regarding the environmental concern. Participants must prepare a ***portfolio*** and an **oral presentation.**

***This STAR Event was written in collaboration with and is endorsed***

***by the Environmental Protection Agency.***

**EVENT CATEGORIES**

**Junior:** through grade 9

**Senior:** grades 10–12

**Occupational:** grades 10–12

See page 84 for more information on event categories.

**2016–2017 COMPETITION TOPICS**

All Environmental Ambassador projects must be based on one of the following topics.

1. Efforts to promote “Greener Living” at home, in your *community*, on the road, when shopping, at school or at work. (https://www.epa.gov/learn-issues/learn-about-greener-living)
2. Promote emergency preparedness to youth, families, and/or communities. (https://www.ready.gov/)
3. Efforts to promote greener transportation (https://www.epa.gov/greenvehicles)
4. Efforts to promote greener sports (https://www.epa.gov/green-sports)
5. Efforts to reduce solid waste, including household hazardous waste. (www2.epa.gov/learn-issues/learn-about-waste)

PROCEDURES & TIME REQUIREMENTS

1. Each entry will submit a *portfolio* (*hardcopy* or *electronic*) to the event room consultant at the designated participation time.

*(continued next page)*

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL INFORMATION** |
| **Individual or Team Event** | **Prepare Ahead of Time** | **Equipment Provided** | **Electrical Access** | **Participant Set Up / Prep Time** | **Room Consultant & Evaluator Review Time** | **Maximum Oral Presentation Time** | **Evaluation Interview Time** | **Total Event Time** |
| Individual or Team | Portfolio, Oral Presentation | Table | Not provided | 5 minutes | 10 minutes prior to presentation | 1-minute warning at 9 minutes; stopped at 10 minutes | 5 minutes | 30 minutes |

|  |
| --- |
| **PRESENTATION ELEMENTS ALLOWED** |
| **Audio** | **Costumes** | **Easel(s)** | **File Folder** | **Flip Chart(s)** | **Portfolio** | **Props/ Pointers** | **Skits** | **Presentation Equipment** | **Visuals** |
| ◼ | ◼ | ◼ |  | ◼ | ◼ | ◼ | ◼ | \* | ◼ |

\* Presentation Equipment is allowed only for presentation of electronic portfolio.

1. Participant(s) will have 5 minutes to set up for the event. Other persons may not assist.
2. Room consultants and evaluators will have 10 minutes to preview the *portfolio* during participant set up time. The participant must make the *electronic portfolio* accessible to evaluators.
3. The oral presentation **may be up** to

10 minutes in length. A one-minute warning will be given at 9 minutes. Participant(s) will be stopped at 10 minutes.

1. If audio or audiovisual recordings are used, they are limited to 3 minutes playing time during the presentation. *Presentation equipment*, with no audio, may be used during the entire presentation.
2. Following the presentation, evaluators will have 5 minutes to interview participant(s).
3. Evaluators will have up to 5 minutes to use the rubric to score and write comments for participants.

ELIGIBILITY &

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

1. Review “Eligibility and General Rules for All Levels of Competition” on page 87 prior to event planning and preparation.
2. A table will be provided. Participant(s) must bring all other necessary supplies and/or equipment. Wall space will not be available.
3. **Access to an electrical outlet will not be provided.** Participant(s) are encouraged to bring fully charged electronic devices such as laptops, tablets, etc., to use for audiovisual or *electronic portfolio* presentation, if desired.
4. Spectators may not observe any portion of this event.



**ENVIRONMENTAL AMBASSADOR**

###### Specifications

Hardcopy Portfolio

The *portfolio* is a collection of materials used to document and illustrate the work of the project. Materials must be contained in the official FCCLA STAR Events binder obtained from the FCCLA national emblematic supplier. A decorative and/or informative cover may be included. All materials, including the *content* *divider pages* and tabs, must fit within the cover, be one-sided, and may not exceed 48 pages, as described below. Once a *hardcopy* *portfolio* has been turned in to evaluators, participants may not switch to an *electronic portfolio.*

Electronic Portfolio

An *electronic portfolio* may be either in PowerPoint, Prezi, or other electronic format that can be viewed by the evaluators and room consultants prior to the oral presentation. The *electronic portfolio* and the hardware (method) to view it (i.e., *equipment, files, projectors, screens, laptops*) will be turned in to the room consultant at the designated participation time. Participants assume the responsibility of providing the *technology* used to show the evaluators the project. Once an *electronic portfolio* is turned in to the evaluators, participants may not switch to a *hardcopy portfolio*. *Portfolio* may not exceed 59 slides, as described below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1-8 ½” x 11” pageor 1 slide | *Project Identification Page* | *Plain paper* or slide, with no *graphics* or decorations; must include participant’s name(s), chapter name, school, city, state, FCCLA national region, event name, and project title. |
| 1-8 ½” x 11” pageor 1 slide | Table of Contents | List the parts of the *portfolio* in the order in which the parts appear. |
| 1-8 ½” x 11” pageor 2 slides | FCCLA *Planning Process*Summary Page | Summarize how each step of the *Planning Process* was used to plan and implement the project; use of the *Planning Process* may also be described in the oral presentation. |
| 1 | Evidence of Online *Project* Summary Submission | Participants should complete the online *project* summary form located on the STAR Events resources page of the FCCLA national website and include proof of submission in the *portfolio*. |
| 0–10 | *Content Divider Pages* or Sections | Use up to 10 *content divider*/section pages or slides. *Content divider*/section pages may be tabbed, may contain a title, a section name, *graphic* elements, thematic decorations, and/or page numbers. They must not include any other *content*. |
| Up to 348 ½” x 11”pages or 44 slides | Evidence of Research | Document background research and *current* data supporting project concern. |
| Knowledge of the Relationship of Environmental Concern to Participants’ Home, School, and/or *Community* | Document the *current* and potential effects the environmental problem has on participants’ homes, schools, and/or communities and ways individuals and groups of people can work stop, counteract, or reverse said problems. |
| Creativity and Duplication of *Project* | The *project* should creatively combat the environmental concern as well as lend itself to active engagement with more people and/or duplication by others. |
| Overall Environmental Responsibility of *Project* | The *project* should address the chosen environmental concern without causing additional harm to the environment. (i.e. if your project focus is on energy consumption it should specifically address energy consumption, but it should also not waste or misuse other *resources.* |
| Evaluation of *Project* | Evidence that participant(s) evaluated their *project* for effectiveness and ability to reach others. |
| Evidence of Educational Presentations | Document three educational presentations which have taken place prior to competition; including date, location, and proof of presentation, such as photos, news clippings, and/or thank-you notes. |

*(continued next page)*

Environmental Ambassador Specifications (continued)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Up to 35 pages or 45 slides *(continued)* | Scope of Educational Presentations | Describe the *audience(s)* reached through educational presentations, including the reason for targeting specific *audiences* and the benefits of educating them on the environmental concern. |
| Effectiveness of Educational Presentations | Document an evaluation of effective educational presentations. May be through *audience* members’ change in activity, opinions, knowledge level, or other methods. |
| Connection to Family and Consumer Sciences | Describe relationship of project *content* to Family and Consumer Sciences and/or related occupations. |
| Works Cited/*Bibliography* | Use MLA or APA citation style to cite all references. *Resources* should be *reliable* and *current*. |
| Appearance | *Portfolio* must be neat, legible, and *professional* and use correct grammar and spelling. |

Oral Presentation

The oral presentation **may be up to** 10 minutes in length and is delivered to evaluators. The presentation should explain the specifics of the project. The presentation may not be prerecorded. If audio or *audiovisual equipment* is used, it is limited to 3 minutes playing time during the presentation. *Presentation equipment*, with no audio, may be used throughout the oral presentation. Participants may use any combination of *props*, materials, supplies, and/or equipment to demonstrate how to carry out the project.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Organization/Delivery | Deliver oral presentation in an organized, sequential manner; concisely and thoroughly summarize project. |
| Knowledge of Environmental Concern | Show evidence of *current* data and knowledge of trends in the environmental concern topic area. |
| Use of *Portfolio* and *Visuals* | Use *portfolio* to describe all phases of the project. Use original, creative, and appealing *visuals* to enhance the presentation. |
| Voice | Speak clearly with appropriate pitch, tempo, and volume. |
| Body Language/Clothing Choice | Use appropriate body language including gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and appropriate handling of notes or note cards if used. Wear appropriate clothing for the nature of the presentation. |
| Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation | Use proper grammar, word usage, and pronunciation. |
| Responses to Evaluators’ Questions | Provide clear and concise answers to evaluators’ questions regarding project. Questions are asked after the presentation. |



###### STAR Events Point Summary Form

##### ENVIRONMENTAL AMBASSADOR

|  |
| --- |
| Name of Participant \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Chapter\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | State\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Team #\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Station #\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Category\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

**DIRECTIONS:**

1. Make sure all information at top is correct. If a student named is not participating, cross their name(s) off. If a team does not show, please write “No Show” across the top and return with other forms. Do **NOT** change team or station numbers.
2. Before student presentation, the room consultants must check participants’ *display* using the criteria and standards listed below and fill in the boxes.
3. At the conclusion of presentation, verify evaluator scores and fill in information below. Calculate the final score and ask for evaluators’ verification. Place this form in front of the completed rubrics and staple all items related to the presentation together.
4. At the end of competition in the room, double check all scores, names, and team numbers to ensure accuracy. Sort results by team order and turn in to the Lead or Assistant Lead Consultant.
5. Please check with the Lead or Assistant Lead Consultant if there are any questions regarding the evaluation process.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ROOM CONSULTANT CHECK** | **Points** |
| **Registration**0 *or* 3 points | Participants arrive during scheduled time |  |
|  No **0** |  Yes **3** |
| **Online Event Orientation Documentation**0 *or* 2 points | **0**Official documentation not provided at presentation time or signed by adviser | **2**Official documentation provided at presentation time and signed by adviser |  |
| **Hardcopy Portfolio**0–1 point or**Electronic Portfolio**0–1 point | **0**Binder is not the official FCCLA binder**0**Electronic Portfolio not in viewable format to the evaluators | **1**Binder is the official FCCLA binder**1**Electronic Portfolio in viewable format to the evaluators |  |
| **Portfolio Pages**0–3 points | **0**Portfolio exceeds the page limit | **1 2 3****2 or more errors 1 error no errors**Portfolio contains no more than 48 single-sided pages or 59 slides completed correctly, including:* 1 project ID page or slide
* 1 table of contents page or slide
* 1 Planning Process summary page or 2 slides
* Project Summary Submission Proof
* Up to 10 content divider pages or slides
* Up to 34 content pages or 44 content slides
 |  |
| **Punctuality**0–1 point | **0**Participant was late for presentation | **1**Participant was on time for presentation |  |
| **EVALUATORS’ SCORES** |  | **ROOM CONSULTANT TOTAL** |  |
| Evaluator 1\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Initials \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | (10 points possible) |
| Evaluator 2\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Initials \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | **AVERAGE EVALUATOR SCORE** | \_ \_ . \_ \_ |
| Evaluator 3\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Initials \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | (90 points possible) |
| Total Score\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | divided by number of evaluators | **FINAL SCORE** | \_ \_ . \_ \_ |
|  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | **= AVERAGE EVALUATOR SCORE***Rounded only to the nearest hundredth (i.e. 79.99 not 80.00)* | (Average Evaluator Score plus Room Consultant Total) |
| **RATING ACHIEVED** (circle one) | **Gold:** 85-100 | **Silver:** 70-84.99 | **Bronze:** 1-69.99 |  |
| **VERIFICATION OF FINAL SCORE AND RATING** (please initial) |  |
| Evaluator 1\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator 2\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator 3\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Adult Room Consultant\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Event Lead Consultant\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |



##### ENVIRONMENTAL AMBASSADOR

###### Rubric

|  |
| --- |
| Name of Participant \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Chapter\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | State\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Team #\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Station #\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Category\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PORTFOLIO** | Points |
| **FCCLA****Planning Process Summary Page**0–5 points | **0**Planning Process summary not provided | **1**Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented | **2**All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized | **3**All Planning Process steps are summarized | **4**Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project | **5**The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained |  |
| **Evidence of Research**0-5 points | **0**Not explained | **1**Some research done but incomplete information | **2**Research is current but from unreliable sources and does not adequately cover the topic | **3**Research is current, appropriate for topic, from reliable sources but does not adequately cover the topic | **4**Research is current, appropriate for topic, from reliable sources, and adequately covers the topic | **5**Research is from current, reliable sources, documented correctly, and extensively covers the topic |  |
| **Knowledge of the Relationship of Environmental Concern to Participants’ Home, School, and/or Community**0-6 points | **0**No relationship described | **1-2**Explanation of how the participants’ environment(s) is affected is inadequate and/or potential solution(s) for the problem are not provided | **3-4**Explanation of how the participants’ environment(s) is affected is adequate, potential solution(s) for the problem provided | **5-6**Explanation of how the participants’ environment(s) is affected is extensive, multiple potential solutions for the problem provided and are both thorough and practical |  |
| **Creativity and Duplication of Project**0-10 points | **0**Project not completed | **1-2**Project did not address concern | **3-4**Project addressed the concern | **5-6**Project effectively addressed concern and is easy for others to duplicate | **7-8**Project creatively and effectively addressed the concern, reached a few other people, is easy for other to duplicate | **9-10**Project creatively and effectively addressed the concern, reached a large number of people and is easy for others to duplicate |  |
| **Overall Environmental Responsibility of Project**0-4 points | **0**No regard for environmental responsibility in project execution | **1**Materials, resources, and activities used for the project were somewhat wasteful | **2**Most materials, resources, and activities used for the project were chosen with respect to their environmental impact | **3-4**Materials, resources and activities used for the project were thought out, chosen, and showed a thorough knowledge of environmental responsibility |  |
| **Evaluation of Project**0-3 points | **0**No evaluation conducted | **1**Evaluation method was unsuccessful | **2**Evaluation was appropriate | **3**Evaluation was thorough and appropriate. Ideas for positive changes are suggested |  |
| **Evidence of Educational Presentations**0-2 points | **0**Evidence of three educational presentations is not included | **1**Evidence of three educational presentations is included | **2**Evidence of three educational presentations is included with the date, location, and one proof of completion |  |
| **Scope of Educational Presentations**0-5 points | **0**No descriptions provided | **1**Description of only one presentation provided | **2**Description of only two presentations provided | **3**Limited descriptions of all three educational presentations are included | **4**Adequate descriptions of each educational presentation are included with information on the audience, why they were targeted, and what benefit(s) this particular group took from the presentation | **5**Extensive descriptions of each educational presentation are included with information on the audience, why they were targeted, and what benefit(s) this particular group took from the presentation |  |
| **Effectiveness of Educational Presentations**0-5 points | **0**No evidence of presentation effectiveness provided | **1**Limited evidence of presentation effectiveness provided | **2**Detailed evidence of presentation effectiveness provided | **3**Detailed evidence of presentation effectiveness provided. Ideas of how to improve effectiveness shared | **4**Detailed evidence of presentation effectiveness provided. Ideas of how to improve effective shared | **5**Detailed evidence of presentation effectiveness provided. Ideas of how to improve effectiveness shared |  |

Environmental Ambassador Rubric (continued)

 Points

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Connection to Family Consumer Sciences**0-5 points | **0**Not included | **1**Vaguely referred to | **2**Explained but done so poorly | **3**Explained fully | **4**Explained fully with evidence of some understanding of content area | **5**Explained fully with evidence of mastery of the content area |  |
| **Works Cited/ Bibliography**0–3 points | **0**No resources listed | **1**Resources are incomplete, not current, or not reliable for project | **2**Reliable resources but incorrect style *(see style sheet)* | **3**Complete list of current and reliable resources, in MLA or APA style *(see style sheet)* |  |
| **Appearance**0–3 points | **0**Portfolio is illegible and unorganized | **1**Portfolio is neat, but may contain grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly | **2**Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling  | **3**Neat, legible, professional, correct grammar and spelling used with effective organization of information |  |
| **ORAL PRESENTATION** |
| **Organization/ Delivery**0 – 10 points | **0**Presentation is not done or presented briefly and does not cover components of the project | **1-2**Presentation covers some topic elements | **3-4**Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information | **5-6**Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well | **7-8**Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well | **9-10**Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery |  |
| **Knowledge of Environmental Concern**0-5 points | **0** Little or no evidence of knowledge | **1** Minimal evidence of knowledge | **2**Some evidence of knowledge | **3** Knowledge of subject matter is evident but not effectively used in presentation | **4** Knowledge of subject matter is evident and shared at times in the presentation | **5** Knowledge of subject matter is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation |  |
| **Use of Portfolio and Visuals during Presentation**0-5 points | **0**Portfolio and visuals not used during presentation | **1**Portfolio and visuals used to limit amount of speaking time | **2**Portfolio and visuals used minimally during presentation | **3**Portfolio and visuals incorporated throughout presentation | **4**Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation | **5**Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio and visuals |  |
| **Voice – pitch, tempo, volume**0-3 points | **0** Voice qualities not used effectively | **1**Voice quality is adequate | **2**Voice quality is good, but could improve | **3**Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing |  |
| **Body Language/ Clothing Choice**0-3 points | **0**Uses inappropriate gestures, posture or mannerisms, avoids eye contact/inappropriate clothing | **1**Gestures, posture, mannerisms and eye contact is inconsistent/ clothing is appropriate | **2**Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing are appropriate | **3**Gestures, posture, mannerisms, eye contact, and clothing enhance presentation |  |
| **Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation**0-3 points | **0**Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors | **1**Some (3-5) grammatical and pronunciation errors | **2**Few (1-2) grammatical and pronunciation errors | **3**Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors |  |
| **Responses to Evaluators’ Questions**0-5 points | **0** Did not answer evaluators’ questions | **1**Unable to answer some questions | **2**Responded to all questions but without ease or accuracy | **3**Responded adequately to all questions | **4**Gave appropriate responses to evaluators’ questions | **5** Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator’s Comments:** |  |  |
|  | TOTAL |
|  | (90 points possible) |
|  | Evaluator # | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
|  | Evaluation Initial | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
|  | Room Consultant Initial | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |